

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/678,663	MALIK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Raymond Covington	1625	

All Participants:

(1) Raymond Covington USPTO.

(2) Eugenia Garrett-Wackowski (.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 October 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

20-32, (34) 42-49

Prior art documents discussed:


none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Newly submitted Claim 34 appears to be a typo and should have been claim 42 referred to in remarks. Claims 20-32 and 42-49 are allowed.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)